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**Ambient Intelligence (AmI)** is the vision of a future ubiquitous electronic environment that supports people in their daily tasks, in a proactive and context-aware, but “invisible” and non-intrusive manner. 

Ramos et al., 2008, Ducatel et al., 2001

**AmI applications** – characterized by:

- intrinsic distribution of the architecture;
- dynamic topology;
- frequent changes in execution context \(\Rightarrow\) context sensitivity is a key element of AmI applications.

Therefore, an **agent-oriented approach for AmI** becomes a good choice.
**The problem:** A better agent-oriented programming language is needed for the development of AmI applications. This language should:

- allow representation of cognitive elements (goals, knowledge, capabilities);
- support mobile computation and execution in smart environments;
- offer a good solution to achieve context-sensitivity.
State Of the Art

- **Agent-Oriented Programming (AOP) languages:** AgentSpeak, 3APL;
  - **Advantages:** allow development of intelligent agents;
  - **Disadvantages:** do not support mobility for the agents.

- **Concurrent languages:** Ambient calculus – Cardelli et al (2000);
  - **Advantages:** formalize concurrent and mobile processes in distributed environments;
  - **Disadvantages:** impossible to represent intelligent agents.
Agent-Oriented Programming (AOP) languages: AgentSpeak, 3APL;
- **Advantages**: allow development of intelligent agents;
- **Disadvantages**: do not support mobility for the agents.

Concurrent languages: Ambient calculus — Cardelli et al (2000);
- **Advantages**: formalize concurrent and mobile processes in distributed environments;
- **Disadvantages**: impossible to represent intelligent agents.

- **Advantages**: combines in a unified framework the main advantages of AOP languages with those of the concurrent languages;
- **Drawbacks**: a complex, difficult to follow syntax, an application layer that needed many resources to execute and no possibility to deploy applications on heterogeneous device networks.
Our Approach – S-CLAIM

S-CLAIM (Smart Computational Language for Autonomous, Intelligent and Mobile agents):

- Combines the advantages of the CLAIM language, like:
  - Cognitive elements – knowledge, goals and capabilities;
  - Mobility primitives – inspired from ambient calculus;
  - Hierarchical organization of agents – offers a natural solution to achieve context-sensitivity.

- With a series of new features and improvements to the existing ones:
  - A simplified and easier-to-follow syntax – Lisp-like;
  - A simplified semantics – focused on agent-specific functionality;
  - All algorithmic functionality is exported to external implementations (implemented, for instance, in Java);
  - Supports various representations of the KB (representable by relations);
  - Allows deployment of applications on heterogeneous device networks, including devices with limited resources, like mobile devices.
Alice is informed that the room for the CS course that she attends has changed;
At the hour set for the course, the professor is in the room;
Based on a global situation of the students, available on his PDA, he decides to start the course;
The room is configured for the presentation and the presentation begins;
After the course the students are involved in some hands-on activities;
After a pre-established interval of time, the teacher evaluates the results of the activities;
The course ends and everything turns off;
The students leave feedback when the Feedback agent comes to their PDAs in order to ask for it.
Agentification of the scenario

- University : Organization
- Campus : Place
- Scheduler : Service
- Administration
- Room : Place
- FrontScreen : Device
- RightScreen : Device
- Professor : User
- ProfessorPDA
- StudentPDAs
- Carol : User
- Dave : User
- Eve : User
- Frank : User

Computational
Place
Activity
User
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Behaviors – one of the most important parts of an agent. They define what an agent can do in certain situations. In S-CLAIM, the behavior types are the following ones:

- **Initial**: triggered at agent creation;
- **Reactive**: triggered by the reception of messages;
- **Cyclic**: infinitely repeating;
- **Proactive** (developed by Simons and Garella from Delft University): uses the following goal types:
  - perform;
  - achieve;
  - maintain.
Example (Agent Class Definition)

(agent Course ?courseName ?parent
  (behavior
   ...
  )
)

)
(agent Course ?courseName ?parent
  (behavior
    ...
    (initial register
      (send ?parent (struct message managesCourse this ?courseName))
    )
    ...
  )
)}
Example (Agent Class Definition)

(agent Course ?courseName ?parent
  (behavior
    ...
    (reactive changeRoom /*reacts to a message that informs about the new room*/
      (receive scheduling ?courseName ?roomName)
      (addK (struct knowledge scheduling ?courseName ?roomName))
      (if (readK (struct knowledge roomAgent ?roomName ?roomAgentName))
        then
          (forAllK (struct knowledge userAgent ?userName ?userAgentName)
            (send ?userAgentName (struct message scheduling ?courseName
          ?roomAgentName))
          )
          (in ?roomAgentName)
        else
          (send ?parent (struct message whoManagesRoom this ?roomName))
        )
    )
    ...
  )
)
Example (Agent Class Definition)

(agent Course ?courseName ?parent
 (behavior
  ...
  (cyclic verifyStartingCondition
   (condition (not (readK (struct knowledge courseStarted))))
   ...  // assign values to ?studentsInRoom, ?minNoOfStudents
   // and ?professorAgent based on the KB
   (if (greaterOrEqual ?studentsInRoom ?minNoOfStudents)
    then
    (calculatePercent ?result ?studentsInRoom ?minNoOfStudents)
    /*the professor is informed that the course can start*/
    (send ?professorAgent (struct message presentStudents ?result))
   )
   (wait 60000)
  )
 ...
)
### S-CLAIM primitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messaging primitives</th>
<th>Control primitives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mob. primitives</td>
<td>Agent management primitives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge management primitives</td>
<td>Goal-oriented primitives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Messaging primitives: `send`, `receive`;
- Mobility primitives: `in`, `out`;
- Knowledge management primitives: `addK`, `removeK`, `readK`, `forallK`;
- Agent management primitives: `open`, `acid`, `new`;
- Control primitives: `condition`, `if`, `wait`, `while`;
- Goal-oriented primitives: `aGoal`, `pGoal`, `mGoal`;
## S-CLAIM primitives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Control primitives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Messaging primitives</td>
<td>Control primitives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility primitives</td>
<td>Agent management primitives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge management primitives</td>
<td>Goal-oriented primitives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Messaging primitives</th>
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B.open(D), D.acid
Web services – strong feature that facilitates the deployment of applications on heterogeneous device networks.

- They are integrated in the existing language constructs – **modified messaging primitives**;
- The reactive behaviors of the agents are exposed as web services (using WSIG);
- Agents could invoke web services, just as they would send messages (thanks to WSDC).

**Example (Web Services – adapted send primitive)**

```
(send ?service (struct message echo)
  http://localhost/wsig/ws/
  (struct message ?back))
```
Application Execution

Agent definition

ADF2 file

XML file

Scenario

Instantiation

Execution

Java + JADE

(agent Type param1
   (behavior
     (reactive
       (receive ...
       )
     )
   )
)

ClaimAgent() {
    addBehavior(
      new ClaimReactiveBehavior
      ( <ClaimBehaviorDefinition> )
    )
}

receive(message)

.
Improvements to the platform

- Based on JADE (Java Agent Development Framework): More stable and higher degree of interoperability with other platforms (like Android);
- Support for Android mobile devices;
- Scenario read from an XML file;
- Easier to extend (modularity);
- Web services support (WSIG and WSDL JADE add-ons);
- A centralized logging system for all the agents;
- Various possible implementations of the agent’s knowledge base (CLAIM supported only propositional logic).
Reasons to consider a mobile platform:

- Very useful in developing Aml applications:
  a) Could be used as an interface with the user;
  b) Newer, powerful, devices could run complex user assistant agents;
  c) Valuable data provided by the features of the smartphones or tablets.
Reasons to consider a mobile platform:

Very useful in developing AmI applications:

a) Could be used as an interface with the user;
b) Newer, powerful, devices could run complex user assistant agents;
c) Valuable data provided by the features of the smartphones or tablets.

Reasons to consider Android:

World’s bestselling Smartphone platform;

Easy to:

a) Access the core functionality of the Android devices;
b) Interact with the OS;
c) Control the hardware;

Applications constructed from components – easy to integrate already developed components from other applications;

Supported by JADE.
Android support

Agent migration \( PC \leftrightarrow Android \)

- Based on JadeAndroid add-on for JADE;
- Agents could move to / from any types of devices supported by the system.

Screenshot of S-CLAIM Android
Example (Migration $PC \leftrightarrow Android$ of an agent)

TRACE new log (count before [1]).
TRACE tracing agent on
INFO arrived after move
INFO arrived on new container
INFO visualization root received: [[agent-identifier:name visualizer@1]]
INFO sent [from:AliceAgent][to:(agent-identifier:name CourseCSAgent@1)][claim-ontology][assistsUser][struct message assistsUser ?this ?userName]]
Android support

Example (Migration $PC \leftrightarrow Android$ of an agent)

```
<scen:timeline>
  <scen:event time="2000">
    <scen:CLAIMMessage>
      <scen:to>SchedulerUPMAgent</scen:to>
      <scen:protocol>newSchedule</scen:protocol>
      <scen:content>
        ( struct message newSchedule
          ( struct knowledge scheduledTo CSCourse Room04 )
        )
      </scen:content>
    </scen:CLAIMMessage>
  </scen:event>
</scen:timeline>
```

AliceAgent

TRACE new log (count before [1]).
TRACE tracing agent on
INFO arrived after move
INFO arrived on new container
INFO visualization root received: [ [agent-identifier :name visualizer@1 ]]
INFO sent [from:AliceAgent][to:(agent-identifier :name CourseCSAgent@1)][claim-ontology][assistsUser][[struct message assistsUser ?this ?userName ]]}
Example (Migration $PC \leftrightarrow Android$ of an agent)

```
<scene:timeline>
  <scene:event time="2000">
    <scene:CLAIMMessage>
      <scene:to>Scheduler:UPMCAgent</scene:to>
      <scene:protocol>newSchedule</scene:protocol>
      <scene:content>
        (struct message newSchedule
         (struct knowledge scheduledTo CSCourse Room04)
         )
      </scene:content>
    </scene:CLAIMMessage>
  </scene:event>
</scene:timeline>
```

AliceAgent

```
TRACE  new log (count before [1]).
TRACE  tracing agent on
INFO   arrived after move
INFO   arrived on new container
INFO   visualization root received: [{agent-identifier :name visualizer@1}]
INFO   sent [from:AliceAgent][to:(agent-identifier :name CourseCSAgent@1)][claim-ontology][assistsUser][{struct message assistsUser ?this ?userName}]
```

```
20:39:05:0624 INFO  [AliceAgent]: sent [from:AliceAgent][to:({agent-identifier :name CourseCSAgent@1})]
20:39:13:0767 INFO  [AliceAgent]: AliceAgent must migrate to RoomContainer
20:39:13:0773 INFO  [AliceAgent]: moving to [RoomContainer]
20:39:13:0777 INFO  [AliceAgent]: moving to [RoomContainer@<Unknown Host>]
20:39:13:0783 TRACE [AliceAgent]: log out (logs remaining [0]).
```
Android support

Example (Migration $PC \leftrightarrow Android$ of an agent)
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Conclusion

- **S-CLAIM**
  - Allows programming cognitive and mobile agents in a simple and intuitive manner;
  - Facilitates the development of complex and expressive mental states of the agents, by means of relation-based knowledge bases;
  - Allows to successfully understand and control complex contexts, thanks to the hierarchical representation of agents;
  - Separates the agent-related components and operations, leaving algorithmic processes aside.

- **The platform**
  - Built on top of JADE, which handles communication, mobility, and agent management.
  - Integrates web services, which are of a great importance for AmI applications;
  - Allows cross-platform deployment and mobile device compatibility.

- **Smart Room Scenario**;
  - A first scenario was successfully developed and tested, in order to prove the qualities of S-CLAIM.
Future work

- **Short term improvements:**
  - Designing and implementing more complex scenarios, adapting S-CLAIM in order to completely support their development and rigorously testing the reliability of the platform;
  - Improving the cognitive parts of the agents;
  - Approaching the problem of security.

- **Long term improvements:**
  - Improving the modularity of S-CLAIM (in order to allow users to easily add new desired features) and developing a way to interact directly with existing function libraries;
  - Designing a better way for the agents to interact with their environment.
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